

## Solution to problem set 5

ECON 5153

1. It would be easier to substitute  $x = 1/2$  and transform the question into

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & f(y, z) = \frac{yz}{2} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & y + z = 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

One can show that the optimal choice is  $y^* = z^* = 1/4$ .

Alternatively, one can keep all the constraints, and form the Lagrangian  $L = xyz - \mu_1(x + y + z - 1) - \mu_2(x - 1/2)$ .

First order conditions are:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = yz - \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = yz - \mu_1 = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = yz - \mu_1 = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_1} = x + y + z - 1 = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_2} = x - 1/2 = 0 \tag{5}$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow x^* = 1/2$ . Equations (2) and (3) imply  $y = z$ . Plug  $x^* = 1/2$  and  $y = z$  into (4), we get  $y^* = z^* = 1/4$ . Then  $f(x^*, y^*, z^*) = x^*y^*z^* = 1/32$ .

2.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & f(x, y) = -2x^2 + y \\ \text{subject to} \quad & 3x - y = 1, x \geq 0, y \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

First form the Lagrangian  $L = -2x^2 + y - \mu(3x - y - 1) + \lambda_1x + \lambda_2y$ .

There are several cases, depending on whether the inequality constraints are binding or not. Clearly in all cases, NDCQ is satisfied.

Case 1:  $x \geq 0$  is binding, then  $x = 0$ . Then  $3x - y = 1 \Rightarrow y = -1$ . But this violates the constraint  $y \geq 0$ .

Case 2:  $y \geq 0$  is binding, then  $y = 0$ . Then  $x = 1/3 \Rightarrow f(x, y) = -2/9$ .

Case 3: None inequality constraint is binding ( $x > 0, y > 0$ ). This implies that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ . Now we take first order conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = -4x - 3\mu = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = 1 + \mu = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} = 3x - y = 1 \quad (8)$$

Combine equations (6), (7) and (8), we have  $x^* = 3/4, y^* = 5/4$  (note that  $x > 0$  and  $y > 0$  are satisfied). Then  $f(x^*, y^*) = 1/8$ , which is greater than  $-2/9$  from case 2. Next we check the second order condition at  $(x^*, y^*)$ .

The bordered Hessian is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 3 & -4 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The last leading principal minor is

$$\det(H) = 4 > 0.$$

This has the same sign as  $(-1)^n$ , implying that the bordered Hessian is negative definite and  $(x^*, y^*)$  is a local maximum. Therefore,  $f(x^*, y^*) = 1/8$  is maximum which occurs at  $x^* = 3/4, y^* = 5/4$ .

### 3.

$$\min \quad f(x, y) = -x^2 - y^2$$

$$\text{subject to } 2x + y \leq 2, x \geq 0, y \geq 0.$$

First form the Lagrangian<sup>1</sup>

$$L = -x^2 - y^2 + \lambda_1(2x + y - 2) - \lambda_2(x - 0) - \lambda_3(y - 0).$$

There are several cases:

Case 1:  $x \geq 0$  is binding, i.e.,  $x = 0$ . Then  $2x + y \leq 2 \Rightarrow y \in [0, 2] \Rightarrow f(x, y) \geq -4$ .

Case 2:  $y \geq 0$  is binding, that is,  $y = 0$ . Then  $2x + y \leq 2 \Rightarrow x \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow f(x, y) \geq -1$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that this is a minimization problem and the way we form Lagrangian is a little different from that in maximization problems.

Case 3:  $x \geq 0$  and  $y \geq 0$  are not binding, but  $2x + y \leq 2$  is binding. This implies that  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ , but  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$  and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0$ .

Take the first order conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = -2x + 2\lambda_1 = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = -2y + \lambda_1 = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 2x + y - 2 = 0 \quad (11)$$

Combine equations (9), (10) and (11), we have  $x^* = 4/5$ ,  $y^* = 2/5$  and  $\lambda_1^* = 4/5$  (note that  $x > 0$  and  $y > 0$  are satisfied). Then  $f(x^*, y^*) = -4/5$ .

Case 4:  $x \geq 0$  and  $y \geq 0$  are not binding,  $2x + y \leq 2$  is not binding either.

This case can't be optimal, as  $\forall x > 0, y > 0$  and  $2x + y < 2$ , it can be shown that there exists  $(x, y')$  such that  $2x + y' = 2$  with  $f(x, y') < f(x, y)$ .

Comparing all cases, case 1 provides the minimum, with  $(x^*, y^*) = (0, 2)$ . At this point, there are two variables  $(x, y)$ , and two constraints ( $n = m = 2$ ). We cannot perform the second order conditions test, which requires us to check the last  $n - m$  leading principal minors. Therefore,  $x^* = 0$ ,  $y^* = 2$  and  $f(x^*, y^*) = -4$ .

4.

$$\max \quad f(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1^{1/2}x_2^{1/2}$$

$$\text{subject to } 2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 4, x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0.$$

We start by forming the Kuhn-Tucker Lagrangian as the following

$$\tilde{L} = 2x_1^{1/2}x_2^{1/2} - \lambda(2x_1 + 3x_2 - 4).$$

The K-T conditions are

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial x_i} \leq 0, x_i \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial x_i} = 0, i = 1, 2 \text{ and } \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \lambda} \geq 0, \lambda \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 0.$$

Depending on whether  $x_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and whether  $2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 4$  is binding, we have several cases:

Case 1& 2:  $x_1 = 0$  or  $x_2 = 0$ . Then the utility is automatically zero.

Case 3:  $x_1 > 0$ ,  $x_2 > 0$ , and  $2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 4$  is binding. This implies  $\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 0$ .

The first order conditions are:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial x_1} = x_1^{-1/2}x_2^{1/2} - 2\lambda = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial x_2} = x_1^{1/2} x_2^{-1/2} - 3\lambda = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 2x_1 + 3x_2 = 4 \quad (14)$$

Combine equations (12), (13) and (14), we have  $x_1^* = 1$ ,  $x_2^* = 2/3$  and  $\lambda^* = 6/\sqrt{6}$  (note that  $x_1 > 0$  and  $x_2 > 0$  are satisfied). Then  $f(x_1^*, x_2^*) = 2\sqrt{6}/3$ .

Case 4:  $x_1 > 0$ ,  $x_2 > 0$  and  $2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 4$  is not binding.

This case can't be optimal, as  $\forall x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$  and  $2x_1 + 3x_2 < 4$ , it can be shown that there exists  $(x_1, x'_2)$  such that  $2x_1 + 3x'_2 = 4$  with  $f(x_1, x'_2) > f(x_1, x_2)$ .

Comparing all cases, case 3 provides the maximum. Therefore,  $x_1^* = 1$ ,  $x_2^* = 2/3$  and  $f(x^*, y^*) = 2\sqrt{6}/3$ .

5. 1) First solve the problem when one of the constraints is  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ . The Lagrangian is

$$L = x + y + z^2 - \mu_1[x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1] - \mu_2(y - 0)$$

The first order conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} &= 1 - 2\mu_1 x = 0, \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} &= 1 - 2\mu_1 y - \mu_2 = 0, \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} &= 2z - 2\mu_1 z = 0, \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_1} &= 0 \Rightarrow x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1, \text{ and} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_2} &= 0 \Rightarrow y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combine all these equations, we can obtain  $x = 1/2$ ,  $y = 0$ ,  $z = \pm\sqrt{3}/2$ ,  $\mu_1 = 1$  and  $\mu_2 = 1$ .<sup>2</sup> By theorem 19.1,

$$f(x, y, z)|_{a=0.8} = f(x, y, z)|_{a=1} + \mu_1 \cdot (0.8 - 1) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + 0 + \frac{3}{4}\right) - 0.2 \cdot 1 = 1.05.$$

2) Look at the problem

$$\max \quad x^2 + x + ay^2$$

---

<sup>2</sup>There are other solutions involving  $z = 0$  which can be showed that are not local maximum and we skip them.

subject to  $2x + 2y \leq 1, x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ .

The Lagrangian is

$$L = x^2 + x + ay^2 - \lambda_1(2x + 2y - 1) + \lambda_2x + \lambda_3y.$$

For  $a = 4$  (Example 18.13), the solutions are  $x^* = 0, y^* = 0.5, \lambda_1^* = 2, \lambda_2^* = 3, \lambda_3^* = 0$  and  $f^* = 1$ . At these values,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial a} = y^2 = 0.25.$$

Then

$$f^*(4.1) \approx f^*(4) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial a} \cdot \Delta a = 1 + 0.25 \cdot 0.1 = 1.025.$$